

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,885 10/14/2003		Oh-Dal Kwon	5000-1-444	4849	
33942 75	90 07/20/2005		EXAMINER		
CHA & REITER, LLC 210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103			WOOD, KEVIN S		
PARAMUS, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2874	2874	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/684,8		KWON ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Kevin S.	Wood	2874				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communica ly		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t	Idress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period fc - If NO period fc - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION time may be available under the provisions of 3 (ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do reply is specified above, the maximum statute y within the set or extended period for reply will, vived by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ays, a reply within the starty period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin stutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)☐ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed o	on						
2a)☐ This a								
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pa	pers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the	attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cer	ified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)			•					
1) Notice of Ref	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- lisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/684,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

RESTRICTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to an optical hybrid module, classified in class 385, subclass 88.
 - II. Claims 16-23, drawn to a manufacturing method of an optical hybrid module, classified in class 385, subclass 14.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, such as molding or stamping, instead of etching the claimed etching process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Steve S. Cha on 11 July 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/684,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood Patent Examiner